

# Engineering Notes

ENGINEERING NOTES are short manuscripts describing new developments or important results of a preliminary nature. These Notes cannot exceed 6 manuscript pages and 3 figures; a page of text may be substituted for a figure and vice versa. After informal review by the editors, they may be published within a few months of the date of receipt. Style requirements are the same as for regular contributions (see inside back cover).

## Nonplanar Doublet Lattices

Kenneth L. Roger\*

Boeing Military Airplane Company, Wichita, Kansas

UNSTEADY lifting surface solutions are commonly obtained as influence matrices relating a lattice of forces to a lattice of normalwashes. The forces usually have been assumed spread along spanwise line segments or over trapezoidal patches, but may sometimes be considered simply as points.<sup>1</sup> The nonplanar formulation is especially simple for the latter case. A nonplanar formulation with comparable simplicity plus compatibility with assumed loadings other than the point doublet is presented here.

Equations (1-3) are exact for a point doublet at any Mach number or frequency.  $K$  is normalwash at  $(x, y, z)$  with direction cosines  $(0, \mu_i, \nu_i)$  due to a force at  $(0, 0, 0)$  with direction cosines  $(0, \mu_j, \nu_j)$ .  $F$  is downwash at  $(x, r)$  from a coplanar doublet at  $(0, 0)$ , where  $r = (y^2 + z^2)^{1/2}$ . The derivative  $F_r$  in Eq. (1) is not required when  $\mu, \nu, y$ , and  $z$  define a coplanar lattice pair. When needed,  $F_r$  may be obtained numerically. (Supersonically, a nonzero  $F$  will always be continuous toward decreasing  $r$ .)

$$K = [A] [B] \left\{ \begin{matrix} (F) \\ (rF_r) \end{matrix} \right\} \quad (1)$$

$$[A] = [(\mu_i \mu_j) (\mu_i \nu_j + \nu_i \mu_j) (\nu_i \nu_j)] \quad (2)$$

$$[B] = \begin{bmatrix} (1) & (y^2/r^2) \\ (0) & (yz/r^2) \\ (1) & (z^2/r^2) \end{bmatrix} \quad (3)$$

The author has obtained completely satisfactory nonplanar lattice elements by using Eqs. (1), (2), and (3) but with a spread coplanar doublet used for  $F$  instead of a point coplanar doublet. Lattice element sweep ( $\Lambda$ , right-handed about the normal) is redefined by Eq. (4) and patch centers are used for  $x$  and  $y$ . The procedure has been used with both area-averaged (constant pressure) patches and line-averaged (conventional) doublet lattice elements.

$$\begin{aligned} \tan(\bar{\Lambda}) &= \tan(\Lambda), \quad r=0 \\ &= (\mu z/r + \nu y/r) \tan(\Lambda), \quad r \neq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Lattice elements thus obtained are "smeared" in a manner that is intermediate between a point doublet and a true integration (spreading), but always become exactly integrated in the coplanar limit. The improvement over a point doublet is especially important for adjacent or close lattice elements. Exactness in the coplanar limit is desired for a continuously changing dihedral, as a ring wing representation of a nacelle.

This method should be considered whenever two or three evaluations of a coplanar lattice element are more desirable than one evaluation of a nonplanar element.

## References

- <sup>1</sup>Ueda, T. and Dowell, E. H. "A New Solution Method for Lifting Surfaces in Subsonic Flow," *AIAA Journal*, Vol. 20, March 1982, p. 348.

Received Sept. 3, 1984. Revision received Oct. 26, 1984. Copyright © American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Inc., 1985. All rights reserved.

\*Loads and Dynamics Supervisor. Associate Fellow AIAA.